

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/711,073 08/20/2004 **EDWARD HUANG** 5072 EXAMINER 06/15/2006 MGE COMPANY NEILS, PEGGY A 20621 E. VALLEY BLVD. #A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WALNUT, CA 91789 2875

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,073	HUANG, EDWARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of this committee in	Peggy A. Neils	2875	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roll. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atule, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35.U.S.C. 8.133)	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
	——. This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims			
,		•	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	drawn from Consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· ·		
8) Claim(s) 1-6 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam		•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		onlication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			
application from the International Bur		Toom of in the Handhar Glage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		eceived.	
Attachment(s)	•	•	
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\	ımmary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB// Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08)	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/711,073

Art Unit: 2875

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 1, drawn to a lighted door, classified in class
 subclass 555.
- II. Claim 2, drawn to a handle with an internal I/O Port Hub, classified in class 703, subclass 25.
- III. Claim 3, drawn to a computer hard drive, classified in class 711, subclass 4.
- IV. Claims 4 and 6, drawn to a computer chassis, classified in class 720, subclass 689.
- V. Claim 5, drawn to an LCD panel with particular display characteristics, classified in class 345, subclass 10. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-V are directed to related components of a computer. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, each of the groups are directed to components with separate searches and do not overlap with any interrelationship of component of the claimed subject matter.

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO
Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney
and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent
attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED,
Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Examiner Neils at (571) 272-2377 on a Monday or Thursday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378.

Stephen Husar Primary Examiner